

# **A constructive approach to semilinear wave equations**

Asia-Pacific Analysis and PDE seminar,

online, January 25, 2021

**Mitsuru Sugimoto (Nagoya University)**

## Nonlinear wave equation

We consider nonlinear wave equations of power type nonlinearity:

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x)u(t, x) = |u(t, x)|^p, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x^n \quad (\text{NLW})$$

for  $p > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

The solution with given initial data can be given as a fixed point of the contraction mapping

$$X \ni u(t, x) \mapsto \cos(tD_x)u(0, x) + \frac{\sin(tD_x)}{|D_x|}u_t(0, x) + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)D_x)}{|D_x|}|u(s, \cdot)|^p \in X$$

in an appropriate Banach space  $X$ .

But what does the solution look like?

## Self-similar solution

We will try to capture the most characteristic solution of (NLW) = self-similar solution.

Important observation:

$u(t, x) : \text{sol. to (NLW)}$

$\implies$

$u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{2/(p-1)} u(\lambda t, \lambda x) : \text{sol. to (NLW)},$

where  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

A solution  $u(t, x)$  to (NLW) is said to be **self-similar** if

$$u(t, x) \equiv u_\lambda(t, x)$$

for any  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

First we discuss the *existence* of self-similar solution for as large range of  $p$  as possible.

We introduce some critical indices:

- $p_k(n) = \frac{n+1}{n-1}$

: lower bound for the exist. of weak global sol.

- $p_{str}(n) = \frac{n+1 + \sqrt{n^2 + 10n - 7}}{2(n-1)}$

: lower bound for the exist. of strong global sol.

- $p_{conf}(n) = \frac{n+3}{n-1}$  : conformal critical

We note  $p_k(n) < p_{str}(n) < p_{conf}(n)$ .

## Remark on $p_k(n)$

- T. Kato (1980): Assume  $1 < p \leq p_k(n)$ . Then weak solution to (NLW) with compactly supported initial data satisfying

$$\int u_t(0, x) dx > 0$$

or

$$\int u_t(0, x) dx = 0, \quad \int u(0, x) dx \neq 0$$

does not exist time globally.

## Remark on $p_{str}(n)$

- It is the positive root of  $(n - 1)p^2 - (n + 1)p - 2 = 0$ .
- Strauss conjecture (1981): Time global solutions always exist for (NLW) if  $p > p_{str}(n)$  and the size of the compactly supported smooth initial data is small. No such result can hold if  $1 < p \leq p_{str}(n)$ .

The case  $n = 2, 3$  with  $p \neq p_{str}(n)$  was solved by Glassey (1981) and John (1979), respectively, and  $p = p_{str}(n)$  by Schaeffer (1985).

It is not a conjecture anymore:

\*  $1 < p < p_{str}(n)$  blow-up : Sideris (1984).

\*  $p > p_{str}(n)$  global : Georgiev-Lindblad-Sogge (1997).

\*  $p = p_{str}(n)$  blow-up :

Yordanov-Zhang (2006), Zhou (2007).

## Remark on $p_{conf}(n)$

- This index is associated with the conformal symmetry map:

$$u(t, x) \mapsto u_{conf}(t, x) = (t^2 - |x|^2)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} u\left(\frac{t}{t^2 - |x|^2}, \frac{x}{t^2 - |x|^2}\right)$$

for  $|x| < t$ .

If  $u$  solves (NLW) then  $u_{conf}$  does when  $p = p_{conf}(n)$ .

## Existence of self-similar solutions

- Pecher (2000) :  $n = 3$ ,  $p_{str}(3) < p < p_{conf}(3)$
- Hidano (2002) :  $n = 2$ ,  $p_{str}(2) < p < p_{conf}(2)$
- Kato-Ozawa (2003) :  $n \geq 3$  odd,  $p_{str}(n) < p < p_{conf}(n)$
- Kato-Ozawa (2004) :  $n \geq 2$  ,  $p_{str}(n) < p < p_{conf}(n)$

Attempts for  $p \notin [p_{str}, p_{conf}]$

- Pecher (2000) :  $n = 3, p = p_{conf}(3)$
- Planchon (2000) :  $p_{conf}(n) < p \in \mathbf{N}$
- Ribaud-Youssfi (2002) :  $2 \leq n \leq 5, p_{conf}(n) < p$
- Attempts for  $n \geq 6$  with large  $p$  by Ribaud-Youssfi (2002), De Almeida-Ferreira (2017).
- An attempt for  $p_k(n) < p < p_{str}(n)$  by Kusumoto (in preparation).

Their basic strategy is to show the *uniqueness* of sol. to (NLW) with the initial data

$$u(0, x) = \epsilon|x|^{-2/(p-1)}, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \epsilon|x|^{-2/(p-1)-1}$$

for small  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\implies \quad u(0, x) = u_\lambda(0, x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \partial_t u_\lambda(0, x)$$

$$\implies \quad u(t, x) \equiv u_\lambda(t, x)$$

more precisely, uniqueness in appropriate Banach spaces  $X$  as a fixed point of the contraction mapping

$$X \ni u(t, x) \mapsto \cos(tD_x)u(0, x) + \frac{\sin(tD_x)}{|D_x|}u_t(0, x) + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)D_x)}{|D_x|}|u(s, \cdot)|^p \in X$$

- Pecher

$$\|u(t, x)\|_X = \sup_{t>0} t^\mu \|u(t, x)\|_{L^r(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

$$\|u(t, x)\|_X = \sup_{|x|\neq t} (|x| + t)|x| - t|^{(3-p)/(p-1)} u(t, x)$$

- Kato-Ozawa

$$\|u(t, x)\|_X = \left\| |t^2 - |x|^2|^\gamma u(t, x) \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbf{R}_+^{1+n})}$$

Our goal is to give a constructive proof!

## Reduction to ODE

The definition of self-similarity

$$u(t, x) \equiv u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^{2/(p-1)} u(\lambda t, \lambda x)$$

with  $\lambda = 1/t$  implies

$$u(t, x) = t^{-2/(p-1)} u(1, x/t).$$

Hence  $u(t, x)$  has to be of the form

$$u(t, x) = t^{-\beta} \varphi(x/t), \quad \beta = 2/(p-1).$$

Conversely, such  $u(t, x)$  is self-similar.

Plugging it into (NLW), we have

$$\beta(\beta+1)\varphi(y)+2(\beta+1)y\cdot\nabla\varphi(y)-\Delta\varphi(y)+\langle\varphi''y,y\rangle=|\varphi(y)|^p$$

$\implies$

$$(r^2-1)\psi_{rr}+\left(2(\beta+1)r-\frac{n-1}{r}\right)\psi_r+\beta(\beta+1)\psi=|\psi|^p$$

with radially symmetric solution  $\varphi(y)=\psi(|y|)$

$\implies$

$$4s(s-1)f_{ss}+2\{(2\beta+3)s-n\}f_s+\beta(\beta+1)f=|f|^p$$

with  $\psi(r)=f(r^2)$

$\implies$

$$L_{a,b,c}f(s) = \frac{1}{4}|f(s)|^p \quad (\text{NLHG})$$

where  $s(= r^2) \geq 0$  and

$$L_{a,b,c} = s(s-1)\frac{d^2}{ds^2} + \{(a+b+1)s - c\}\frac{d}{ds} + ab$$

with

$$a = \beta/2 = 1/(p-1),$$

$$b = (\beta+1)/2 = 1/(p-1) + 1/2,$$

$$c = n/2.$$

## Hypergeometric function

(NLHG) can be regarded as a nonlinear perturbation of **hypergeometric differential equation**:

$$L_{a,b,c}h = 0 \quad (\text{HG})$$

Hypergeometric function

$$h_{a,b,c}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+k)\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(c+k)} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

is a solution to (HG). We remark  $h_{a,b,c}(0) = 1$  and  $h_{a,b,c}(s) > 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ .

We find a sol to (NLHG) of the form

$$f(s) = \varepsilon h(s)g(s),$$

where  $h$  is a sol to (HG) and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Plugging it into (NLHG), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(s-1)g_{ss} + 2\left\{(a+b+1)s - c + s(s-1)\frac{h_s}{h}\right\}g_s \\ = (\varepsilon h)^p |g|^p. \quad (\text{G}) \end{aligned}$$

We can construct a bounded function  $g(s) > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  due to the symmetric property:

## Symmetric property of (NLHG)

We set

$$T : f(s) \mapsto f(1 - s), \quad S : f(s) \mapsto -s^{-a} f(1/s)$$

then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_{a,b,c}f = \frac{1}{4}|f|^p & \xrightarrow{S} & L_{a,a-c+1,a-b+1}f = \frac{1}{4}|f|^p \\
 \downarrow T & & \downarrow R=S^{-1}TS \\
 L_{a,b,a+b-c+1}f = \frac{1}{4}|f|^p & \xrightarrow{S} & L_{a,c-b,a-b+1}f = \frac{1}{4}|f|^p.
 \end{array}$$

By this symmetry:

- Interval shift :  $[0, 1] \xleftrightarrow{S} [1, \infty]$

$\implies$  It suffices to consider (G) on  $[0, 1]$ .

- Singular points shift :  $s = 0 \xleftrightarrow{T} s = 1$

$\implies$  It suffices to consider (G) near  $s = 0$ .

Then we construct a positive power series solution

$$g(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k; \quad a_k = \frac{d^k g}{dt^k}(0)$$

to

$$s(s-1)g_{ss} + 2\left\{(a+b+1)s - c + s(s-1)\frac{h_s}{h}\right\}g_s = (\varepsilon h)^p g^p \quad (\text{G})$$

for  $|s| < 1$ .

Noting  $h(0) = 1$ , we have

$$(G)|_{s=0} : -2cg'(0) = \varepsilon^p g(0)^p$$

$$\begin{aligned} (G)'|_{s=0} : -g''(0) + 2(a + b + 1 - h'(0))g'(0) - 2cg''(0) \\ = p\varepsilon^p g(0)^{p-1} (h'(0)g(0) + g'(0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(G)''|_{s=0} : \quad \dots \quad = \quad \dots$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

Setting  $g(0) = 1$ , each  $g^{(k)}(0) = O(\varepsilon^p)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is given iteratively!.

## Tentative self-similar solution

Summarising the argument so far, a self-similar solution is "tentatively" constructed by

$$u(t, x) = \varepsilon t^{-2/(p-1)} h(|x|^2/t^2) g(|x|^2/t^2),$$

where  $h$  is a sol. to (HG) and  $g$  a bounded function.

Does the nonlinear term  $|u(t, x)|^p$  has a meaning as a distribution?

- We have the *stable* self-similar sol. to (NLW):

$$u(t, x) = c_{n,p} |x|^{-2/(p-1)},$$

$$c_{n,p} = \left\{ \frac{2}{p-1} \left( n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \right\}^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Since

$$|u(t, x)|^p = (c_{n,p})^p |x|^{-2p/(p-1)} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

for  $p < n/(n-2)$ , then it can be understood as a distribution.

- Unfortunately, it is not always the case for our construction. Indeed, when  $p = (n + 1)/(n - 1)$ , corresponding hypergeometric function is

$$h(s) = |1 - s|^{-a-1}(1 - s)$$

with  $a = (n - 1)/2$ , and  $g(s)$  is a constant. Then

$$u(t, x) = c_n |t^2 - |x|^2|^{-a-1} (t^2 - |x|^2)$$

we require  $u \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$  or equivalently

$$p(-a) = -p/(p - 1) > -1 \iff \frac{p}{p - 1} < 1.$$

## The case $n = 3$

The hypergeometric function is given by an elementary function:

$$h(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+\sqrt{s})^{\frac{p-3}{p-1}} - (1-\sqrt{s})^{\frac{p-3}{p-1}}}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{for } 0 < s < 1 \\ \frac{(\sqrt{s}+1)^{\frac{p-3}{p-1}} + (\sqrt{s}-1)^{\frac{p-3}{p-1}}}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{for } s > 1 \end{cases}$$

is a sol to (HG) with  $a = 1/(p-1)$ ,  $b = 1/(p-1) + 1/2$ ,  $c = n/2$  when  $p \neq 3$ .

When  $p = 3$ , we need a modification:

$$h(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log(1+\sqrt{s})-\log(1-\sqrt{s})}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{for } 0 < s < 1 \\ \frac{\log(\sqrt{s}+1)+\log(\sqrt{s}-1)}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{for } s > 1 \end{cases}$$

When  $1 < p \leq 3$ ,  $h(s)$  is singular only at  $s = 1$ , while  $h(s)$  has no singularity when  $p > 3$ .

Then a self-similar solution is constructed by

$$u(t, x) = \varepsilon t^{-2/(p-1)} \underbrace{h(|x|^2/t^2)}_{\text{sing: } |x|=|t|} \underbrace{g(|x|^2/t^2)}_{\text{bdd}}.$$

For the nonlinear term  $|u(t, x)|^p$  to make sense, we require  $u \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$  or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} h(|x|^2) \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^n) &\iff p \frac{p-3}{p-1} > -1 \\ &\iff p > p_{str}(3) = 1 + \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u(t, \cdot) \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , we further require

$$p \left( 1 - \frac{p-3}{p-1} \right) > 3 \iff p < p_{conf}(3) = 3.$$

The result by Pecher is recaptured!

## Conclusions

- Hypergeometric functions are behind the nonlinear wave equations. (Remark: Zhou (2007) and Zhou-Hua (2014) implicitly indicated this fact in different contexts.)
- By virtue of it, we can *construct* self-similar solutions.

Thank you very much for your attendance in this difficult time!